

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/723,762	Applicant(s) SWANSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew J. Daniels	Art Unit 1732	

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew J. Daniels.

(2) Brian Morrison (58455).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 July 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 8, 14

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Penn, Tan

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an amendment to Claims 1, 8, and 14 incorporating subject matter that the first and second deformable insulators are baffles to place the case in condition for allowance. The language agreed upon is reflected in the enclosed Examiner's amendment..